UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,474	06/07/2005	Andreas Wolfert	272974US0PCT	2264	
	7590 02/07/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PUTTLITZ, KARL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS 02/07/2007 PAPER				PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	-		
Office Action Summary		10/538,47	4	WOLFERT ET AL.	•		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Karl J. Putl	:litz	1621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. bry period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tim  I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicatio (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>07 June 2005</u> .		•			
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				•		
3) 🗌	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	,						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				,		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	see the attached detailed Office action i	or a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen	' '		4) Interview Summer:	(PTO 413)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application	٠		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6 drawn to a process for preparing isocyanates.

Group II claim(s) 7 drawn to a plant.

Unity exists when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more corresponding special technical features. A special technical feature is a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. See M.P.E.P Appendix AI, § 206 and Annex B.

Here, the foregoing groups lack unity since the special technical features of groups I and II do not correspond, i.e., the process steps of preparing an isocyanate visà-vis the components of a production plant.

A telephone call was made to Bryant Young on 1/30/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/538,474

Art Unit: 1621

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/538,474 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KARL PUTTLITZ
PATENT EXAMINER